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SUMMARY
The gabapentinoids gabapentin and pregabalin have been related to addiction citing pharmacovigilance data, some case presentations and increasing reports mainly from methadone maintenance treatment programs or emergency medicine. Most of these reports were based on patients with another current or previous substance use disorder (SUD). According to the ICD-10 dependence criteria, physical dependence (withdrawal symptoms, tolerance) was reported most frequently alongside regular use of gabapentinoids. Far less patients showed key symptoms of behavioral dependence (craving, loss of control, or addictive behavior).

Through a literature review, we found 2 and 13 case reports about gabapentionoid-seeking behavior or craving for gabapentin and pregabalin, respectively. Those patients without a history of another SUD, but being behaviorally dependent on gabapentinoids, deemed more appropriate to reflect the true addictive power of these drugs. We found solely 4 such cases, all referring to pregabalin and none for gabapentin. Taking into account that gabapentinoids have become widely distributed and easily obtainable via the internet or black-markets, one would expect many more of these cases, if gabapentinoids had considerable addictive power. Moreover, we are not aware of any patient who sought detoxification treatment owing to the misuse of gabapentinoids. Unlike for traditional psychoactive drugs, there is only very scarce evidence for gabapentinoids to be misused in a long-term manner and to be rewarding and reinforcing in animal experiments. Further, we assessed the hazardous potential of gabapentin and pregabalin in relation to that of traditional substances of abuse. Altogether, we support the view that gabapentinoids are quite rarely addictive in the general population. In patients with a history of SUD, however, gabapentinoids (notably pregabalin) should avoided or, if thought to be beneficial, administered with caution by using a strict prescription and therapy monitoring.
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INTRODUCTION
In the past ten years, there have been increasing reports linking gabapentin and pregabalin to abuse and dependence, life-threatening intoxications and death (Schifano et al. 2011, Prescrire Int 2012, Häkkinen et al. 2014, Ongley et al. 2014, Elliott et al. 2016, Mersfelder & Nichols 2016, Smith et al. 2016, Schjerning et al. 2016a). Therefore, we have undertaken this mini-review to propose a first approach to compare their hazardous potential with that of traditional psychoactive substances.

PHARMACOLOGY OF GABAPENTINOIDS
Pregabalin and gabapentin are approved pharmaceuticals for the treatment of some epileptic and pain disorders, and pregabalin also for generalized anxiety disorder (Bockbader et al. 2010, Calandre et al. 2016). Pharmacologically, both drugs are very closely related (Bockbader et al. 2010, Calandre et al. 2016). Therefore, we have undertaken this mini-review to propose a first approach to compare their hazardous potential with that of traditional psychoactive substances.
WIDE DISTRIBUTION OF GABAPENTINOIDS

Since their introduction into the markets (gabapentin 1997, pregabalin 2004), both gabapentinoinds have become blockbuster prescription drugs with meanwhile myriads of prescriptions worldwide (Kapil et al. 2014, Schwabe & Pfaffrath 2015, Calandre et al. 2016), however, often for “off-label” use e.g. against anxiety, agitation, non-neuropathic pain, mood instability, insomnia, neurasthenia, somatoform disorders, and withdrawal symptoms from various recreational drugs (Calandre et al. 2016, Freynhagen et al. 2016). Gabapentin and pregabalin were assigned to have a considerable abuse liability since they were observed to undergo a transition from prescription drugs to recreational drugs becoming progressively obtainable via the internet or on the black market (Schifano et al. 2011, Mersfelder & Nichols 2016, Smith et al. 2016, Schjerning et al. 2016a) in the past ten years.

ANALYZING CASE REPORTS ABOUT GABAPENTINOID ADDICTION

The authors are familiar with gabapentin prescriptions since the late 1990ies (Bonnet et al. 1999) and used gabapentin also “off-label” temporarily and beneficially over thousand times i) as an adjunct in the treatment of withdrawal symptoms due to alcohol, benzodiazepines, cannabis and opioids (Freyhagen et al. 2016) or ii) in the treatment of nervousness or agitation in anxiety and affective disorders or dementia (Bonnet & Scherbaum 2016). Among those patients with no relation to another SUD (except for nicotine dependence), we saw 6 cases of mid-life adults and elderslies, who developed a withdrawal syndrome after several weeks of the treatment with gabapentin. These patients (4 females, 2 males) had been diagnosed with generalized anxiety disorder or anxious depression and had stopped the gabapentin treatment (1200-3200 mg gabapentin/day) erroneously in an abrupt manner. Upcoming withdrawal symptoms resembled those of SSRIs (Fava et al. 2015) or benzodiazepines (Tyrer et al. 1990) and comprised of agitation, dysphoria, irritability, depersonalization, gait instability, vertigo, light-headedness, dizziness, fatigue, tremor, insomnia, myalgia, flu-like symptoms, abdominal discomfort, nausea, sleeplessness, palpitations and tachycardia. The larger the gabapentin doses had been prior to abrupt quitting, the stronger was the withdrawal syndrome, which could be well mitigated by reinstating gabapentin, which was thereafter gradually tapered in the following few weeks without problems. With the exception of this withdrawal syndrome and some tolerance to gabapentin’s intended beneficial action on agitation and anxiety, there were no further symptoms of SUD in these patients, especially no reward craving, no loss of control, no drug-seeking behavior or further narrowed behaviors toward this drug - all these symptoms would have been typical for behavioral (psychological) dependence (Dilling & Freyberger 2006, Bonnet 2011). The observed physical dependence on gabapentin, in other words withdrawal symptoms and tolerance, were in line with previous reports (Smith et al. 2016, Mersfelder & Nichols 2016).

reports according to fulfilled ICD-10 dependence criteria (Dilling & Freyberger 2006) and found that i) tolerance and withdrawal symptoms were common in gabapentinoid dependence, and ii) unlike pregabalin (N=13) (Grosshans et al. 2010, Filipetto et al. 2010, Yargic & Ozdemiroglu 2011, Skopp & Zimmer 2012, Carrus & Schifano 2012, Aldemir et al. 2013, Papazisis et al. 2013, Gahr et al. 2013a, Barrett et al. 2015, Yazdi et al. 2015, Gahr et al. 2015), gabapentin was rarely associated with symptoms of behavioral dependence (N=2) (Victorri-Vigneau et al. 2007, Kraszewski et al. 2009). Of note, the majority of the cases presenting behavioral dependence symptoms on gabapentinoids (67%, 11 out of 15 case reports) had a positive addiction history with traditional psychoactive drugs, mostly alcohol, benzodiazepines and opioids. Similar results were described in recent reviews regarding gabapentin (Smith et al. 2016, Mersfelder & Nichols 2016) and pregabalin abuse and dependence (Schjerning et al. 2016a). There were only 4 case reports which have described symptoms of behavioral dependence on gabapentinoids and no positive addiction history (Yargic & Ozdemiroglu 2011, Ashwini et al. 2015, Halaby et al. 2015, Driot et al. 2016). These cases may reflect the abuse potential of gabapentinoids the best and have been all related to pregabalin (Yargic & Ozdemiroglu 2011, Ashwini et al. 2015, Halaby et al. 2015, Driot et al. 2016).

Since 2008, numerous but unspecified cases about pregabalin abuse have been reported to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), mainly via Scandinavian, British, French and German pharmacovigilance systems, the vast majority describing patients being currently or previously dependent on other substances, too (Chalabianloo & Schjott 2009, Schwan et al. 2010, Gahr et al. 2013b; Bodén et al. 2014, Asomaning et al. 2016, Schjerning et al. 2016b, Bossard et al. 2016). This association was supported by the latest analysis of the EudraVigilance database (Chiappini & Schifano 2016) which included spontaneous reports from Europa, North and South America as well as East Asia.

OTHER CLINICAL OBSERVATIONS ABOUT GABAPENTINOID ADDICTION

In clinical populations, gabapentin misuse and overdosing was identified most often in association with opiate addiction and multiple drug using (Bastiaens et al. 2016, Wilens et al. 2015, Baird et al. 2013). In support, a prospective study in Appalachian Kentucky found a large increase of gabapentin use in the cohort of non-medical prescription opioid users, who used gabapentin specifically to get “high” (Smith et al. 2015). An amplification of a desired opoid high and relief of anxiety symptoms during opiate withdrawal were strong factors for promoting this misuse (Bastiaens et al. 2016, Wilens et al. 2015, Baird et al. 2013). Notably there are two plain case reports of psychiatric patients without a history of another SUD, who self-administrated pregabalin in large supra-therapeutic amounts (1500-3000 mg/day) to stimulate themselves and produce euphoria (Halaby et al. 2015, Ashwani et al. 2015). Similar information about pregabalin misuse and overdosing is available from emergency medicine (Millar et al. 2013) and methadone maintenance treatment programs (Schifano et al. 2011, Grosshans et al. 2013, Piralishvili et al. 2013, McNamara et al. 2015). For reasons of methodology, those epidemiological studies primarily basing on drug urine screenings are as unspecific as pharmacovigilance reports to verify causality between the finding of non-medical gabapentinoid use, even in supratherapeutic doses, and true addictive behavior or hazard induced by this usage.

ADDICTIVE USE OF GABAPENTINOIDS IN VARIOUS POPULATIONS

Currently, there is no valid information about the prevalence of addictive use of gabapentinoids in the general population. Using a questionnaire basing on DSM-IV (Wittchen et al. 1997), Cosmann et al. (2016) reported a lifetime prevalence of 0.25% for gabapentinoid abuse and dependence in a German geriatric non-demented hospital population (Cosmann et al. 2016). In an online survey, Kapil et al. (2014) found the following self-reported lifetime prevalences of misuse of GABA-analogues in the younger and mid-life UK population: baclofen (1.3%), gabapentin (1.1%), and pregabalin (0.5%) (Kapil et al. 2014). Characteristically, these cases were linked to another substance abuse or dependence, mostly opioids again (Kapil et al. 2014, Cosmann et al. 2016). There is robust evidence that risk populations, such as multiple drug users or patients in methadone treatment programs, have selected gabapentinoids due to their special features to boost an euphoric high and reduce withdrawal symptoms (Schwan et al. 2010, Schifano et al. 2011, Grosshans et al. 2013, Baird et al. 2013, Piralishvili et al. 2013, Wilens et al. 2015, Smith et al. 2015, Bastiaens et al. 2016). These cohorts of addicts preferred pregabalin, which allows a more rapid and stronger euphoric high and relaxation than would be possible with gabapentin (Bockbader et al. 2010, Calandre et al. 2016). However, these reinforcing effects underlie rapid tolerance which promotes overdosing. At this juncture, pregabalin has been associated more closely with the hazards of these populations, such as behavioral dependence (Grosshans et al. 2010, Filipetto et al. 2010, Yargic & Ozdemiroglu 2011, Skopp & Zimmer 2012, Carrus & Schifano 2012, Aldemir et al. 2013, Papazisis et al. 2013, Gahr et al. 2013, Barrett et al. 2015, Yazdi et al. 2015, Gahr et al. 2015) and even death (Häkkinen et al. 2014) in comparison with gabapentin.
LIKING AND WANTING OF GABAPENTINOIDS

Unlike traditional psychoactive drugs, there is less, if any, evidence for gabapentinoids to be misused in a long-term manner (Smith et al. 2016, Mersfelder & Nichols 2016, Scherning et al. 2016b). This would support the hypothesis that gabapentinoids can induce a “liking” (euphoric high) due to their GABA-mimetic action but no or only minimal “wanting” (most likely behavioral dependence) (Berridge & Robinson 2016) which corresponds with no or only minimal rewarding properties of gabapentinoids in animal experiments (Andrews et al. 2001, Rutten et al. 2011). Non-treatment seeking cocaine abusers did not alter their choice to self-administer cocaine while on gabapentin maintenance (up to 3200 mg/day) (Hart et al. 2007), which also did not highlight a robust addictive potency (“wanting”) of gabapentinoids. There are several further non-regulated medications being deemed to have no relevant addictive potential (“wanting”) and observed to be abused preferentially by patients with a history of another SUD. These include some antidepressants (tranylcypromine, bupropion, tianeptine) (Haddad 1999, Bernard et al. 2011, Costa et al. 2015), antipsychotics (quetiapine) (Montebello & Brett 2015) or pain relievers (flupirtin) (Gahr et al. 2013c).

On this background, we do suggest that gabapentin and pregabalin belong to such substances, which themselves have no relevant addiction potency sui generis (“wanting”), but could become addictive in patients with prior SUD-experience. Those patients look for (“wanting”), but could become addictive in patients themselves have no relevant addiction potency sui generis (“wanting”) and observed to be abused preferentially by patients with a history of another SUD. These include some antidepressants (tranylcypromine, bupropion, tianeptine) (Haddad 1999, Bernard et al. 2011, Costa et al. 2015), antipsychotics (quetiapine) (Montebello & Brett 2015) or pain relievers (flupirtin) (Gahr et al. 2013c).

Unlike nicotine, alcohol, opiates or benzodiazepines, there is yet no evidence for gabapentinoids to facilitate the misuse of other drugs in patients without a history of SUD.

Although gabapentinoids are widely distributed, we have found only 4 cases (as mentioned above) supporting a “wanting” of gabapentinoids in patients without a history of SUD and these 4 cases refer to pregabalin and not to gabapentin (Yargic & Ozdemiroglu 2011, Ashwini et al. 2015, Halaby et al. 2015, Driot et al. 2016). This is still below the frequency of cases having reported extremely rare clinical phenomena, such as the toxicologically relevant gut fermentation syndrome (“endogeneous auto-brewery”) (Cordell & McCarthy 2013, Welch et al. 2016). The view that pregabalin is usually not related to the development of behavioral dependence (“wanting”) in populations not at risk is further supported by an analysis of the French Pharmacovigilance Database, where no significant association between exposure to pregabalin and drug abuse or dependence was found, nevertheless, taking into account the methodologic limitations of spontaneous reporting pharmacovigilance systems (Bossard et al. 2016).

EVALUATING THE ADDICTIVE POWER OF GABAPENTINOIDS

Weighting the adverse effects being published in association with gabapentin and pregabalin and considering the information about their addictive power as mentioned above, we propose Table 1 to compare the abuse potential and toxicity of gabapentinoids with those of traditional psychoactive substances. As yet, pregabalin deemed more risky to induce dependence than gabapentin. Most likely, this is primarily based upon the special pharmacokinetic feature that unlike gabapentin, the plasma (and brain) concentrations of gabapentin, the plasma (and brain) concentrations of gabapentin, the plasma (and brain) concentrations of gabapentin, the plasma (and brain) concentrations of gabapentin, the plasma (and brain) concentrations of gabapentin.

Table 1. Risk of dependence and hazards of gabapentinoids and traditional psychoactive substances: a comparative appraisal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics/substance of abuse</th>
<th>Opiates</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Gabapentin</th>
<th>Pregabalin</th>
<th>Benzo-diazepines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical dependence (tolerance, withdrawal symptoms)</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral = psychological dependence (craving, loss of control, addictive behavior)</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td>(especially in patients with history of SUD)</td>
<td>(***)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall toxicity (not in therapy)</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>(especially in elderlies or in overdose mixtures with opioids or sedatives)</td>
<td>(especially in elderlies or in overdose mixtures with opioids or sedatives)</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social hazards</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SUD = Substance use disorder; *** = moderate effects; **** = strong effects; = no effects; *= very weak effects; **= weak effects; ***** = very strong effects.

pregabalin increase linearly with increasing doses (Bockbader et al. 2010, Calandrelli et al. 2016). Pheno-
typically, patients reported pleasant stimulation and
euphoria when using supratherapeutic pregabalin doses
(1500-3000 mg) (Halaby et al. 2015, Ashwani et al.
2015, Millar et al. 2013, Grosshans et al. 2013), and in
rats, conditioned place preference was induced only
with higher (“supratherapeutic”) intraperitoneal (but not
oral) pregabalin doses (Andrews et al. 2001, Rutten et
al. 2011). Yet, contrarily to gabapentin, the prescription
and distribution of pregabalin is regulated by the drug
and narcotics laws in the USA and Norway. Thus, it has
been listed in the Drug Enforcement Administration
(DEA) schedule V (USA) and schedule C (Norway),
which control psychoactive drugs with the lowest risk
for abuse (DEA 2005, Westin & Strøm 2010). In Ger-
many, prescription of gabapentinoids is hitherto not regu-
lated by his Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substan-
tives Law, just as in most other countries of the world.

CONCLUSION

Altogether, we support the view that the abuse
potential of both, gabapentin and pregabalin, is of lower
priority in patients without another current or past SUD.
In these “abuse-history-free” patients, the treatment
with gabapentinoids is advised to be managed similar to
the treatment with other frequently prescribed medi-
cations. In patients with a history of SUD, however,
gabapentinoids (notably pregabalin) should better to be
avoided or if indispensable, administered with caution
by using a strict therapeutic and prescription moni-
toring. This is already stated in the package inserts of
gabapentinoid medications. Prospective studies com-
toring. This is already stated in the package inserts of
for the antidepressants, an tipsychotics and non-opiod
pregabalin more accurately which is also recommended
another SUD are missing and warranted to estimate the
potential of both, gabapentin and pregabalin, is of lower
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